



Active Object Detection With Knowledge Aggregation and Distillation from Large Models

Dejie Yang, Yang Liu^{*}

Wangxuan Institute of Computer Technology, Peking University



Overview



Active Object Detection(AOD)

- Detect the bounding box of the active object which is **undergoing state-change**
- For example: "carrot undergoing cutting", "pot undergoing cleaning"





Motivation



Main Challenges of AOD

(1) **The large intra-class visual appearance variance** for the same object under state changes e.g., carrot can be 'cutting using a knife', 'breaking by hands' or 'making into juice'

(2) **The subtle visual changes** between the instance undergoing state-change or not e.g., multiple distracting no-change instances of the same category

- 1. <u>cutting using a knife</u>
- 2. breaking by hands
- 3. making into juice

...





(1) Diverse interactions and large intra-class variance

(2)Subtle visual difference and multiple distractors



Contributions

- (1) Introduce a Knowledge Aggregator that incorporates three-fold commonsense: plausible semantic interactions, fine-grained visual and spatial priors
- (2) To avoid the extra input at inference, propose a Teacher-Student Knowledge Distillation strategy
- (3) Our proposed framework achieves state-of-the-art performance on four datasets
 - 1. cutting using a knife
 - 2. breaking by hands
 - 3. making into juice

...





(1) Diverse interactions and large intra-class variance

(2)Subtle visual difference and multiple distractors



Framework

- Vision Based Detector (Student): to detect active object without extra inputs, introduce a Transformer Detector
- Knowledge Aggregator: to collect the semantic-aware, visual-assisted and spatial-sensitive knowledge, large models(GPT and Diffusion Models) and Attentive Fusion module
- Knowledge-Enhance Detector(Teacher): to enrich the detection process with pertinent priors linked to active objects, a Transformer Decoder and a Detection Head



Proposed Method: KAD



KAD: Knowledge Aggregator

- Approach:
 - *Generate*: Semantic(Interactions by GPT), Vision(Images by Diffusion Models), Spatial(gt bbox)
 - Fuse: fuse the triple priors with attention layer
- construct triple complementary priors to guided the model to distinguish where to pay more attention.



Attentive Fusion:

$$\mathbf{T} = pool(selfattn([(\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, ..., \mathbf{t}_p)])) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d_t}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}} \land (\mathbb{B} \otimes \mathbb{R})$$

Prompt: describe 10 interaction descriptions of [object] undergoing state change (including tools)

- 1. Carrot is being washed using a faucet.
- 2. Carrot is being peeled using a peeler.
- 3. Carrot is being sliced using a knife.
- 4. Carrot is being grated using a grater.
- 5. Carrot is being boiled using a pot.
- 6. Carrot is being steamed using a steamer.
- 7. Carrot is being roasted using an oven.
- 8. Carrot is being pureed using a blender.
- 9. Carrot is being juiced using a juicer.
- 10. Carrot is being fermented using a fermentation jar and salt.

Generated Interactions



Generated Images



MRT: Knowledge Distillation

- Approach:
 - *Parameters Sharing*: decoder and detection head
 - Knowledge Distillation: Feature and Attention Distillation
- Leverage the oracle query to facilitate accurate representation learning
- Allow the student to emulate the ability of teacher to navigate dynamic distractors





The Overall Objective

- Teacher model(only train): $\mathcal{L}_k = BCE(s, \hat{s}_t) + \lambda(\mathcal{L}_{giou}(b, \hat{b}_t) + ||b \hat{b}_t||_1)$
- Overall: $L = L_v + L_k + \alpha L_{distill}$







State-of-the-art performance on 4 benchmarks



Ego4D (Daily Activity)



Epic-Kitchens (Activity in Kitchens)



MECCANO (Toy Assembly)



100DOH (Daily Activity)



State-of-the-art performance on 4 benchmarks

-					
Method	Backhone	Val-Set			
wieuloa	Backbolle	Val-Se AP AP50 6.4 11.70 13.4 25.6 10.7 20.6 15.5 32.8 31.4 34.6 24.8 44.2 36.4 56.5	AP50	AP75	
CenterNet [37]	DLA-34	6.4	11.70	6.10	
FasterRCNN [23]	ResNet-101	13.4	25.6	12.5	
100DOH-model [25]	ResNet-101	10.7	10.7 20.6		
DETR [1]	ResNet-50	<u>15.5</u> <u>32.8</u>		<u>13.0</u>	
KAD(ours)	ResNet-50	31.4	34.6	28.9	
Intern Video [21]	Uniformer-L	24.8	44.2	24.0	
Intern video[51]	Swin-L	<u>36.4</u> <u>56.5</u>		<u>37.6</u>	
KAD(ours)	Swin-L	40.5	60.6	41.9	

Ego4D

Epic-Kitchens

Mathad	Backhone		Val-Set		
Method	Баскоопе	AP <u>10.4</u> 30.2 19.4 <u>28.3</u> 35.2	AP50	AP75	
DETR [1]	ResNet-50	<u>10.4</u>	<u>15.7</u>	<u>10.1</u>	
KAD(ours)	ResNet-50	30.2	30.1	22.5	
InternVideo[21]	Uniformer-L	19.4	38.7	17.0	
Intern video[51]	Swin-L	Swin-L <u>28.3</u> <u>39</u>		<u>27.2</u>	
KAD(ours)	Swin-L	35.2	44.1	32.5	

100DOH

Method	Backbone	AP75	AP50	AP25
100DOH-model [25]	ResNet-101	28.5	47.0	51.8
PPDM[17]	DLA-34	26.9	45.8	53.0
HOTR[15]	ResNet-50	29.3	49.3	57.8
Seq-Voting[9]	ResNet-101	29.9	53.0	57.2
KAD(ours)	ResNet-101	31.2	53.9	58.9

MECCANO

Method	Backbone	AP75	AP50	AP25
100DOH-model [25]	ResNet-101	-	20.2	-
Seq-Voting[9]	ResNet-101	<u>13.0</u>	<u>26.3</u>	<u>34.9</u>
KAD(ours)	ResNet-101	14.4	28.8	36.2



Ablation Study of priors

- Each type of prior shows a performance gain.
- Combining the priors achieves the best results.

No.	Knowledge	AP	AP50	AP75
1	VBD(baseline)	35.9	55.8	36.9
2	VBD+visual	36.0	56.6	37.2
3	VBD+semantic	36.5	57.1	37.1
4	VBD+spatial	36.1	56.8	37.0
5	VBD+spatial+semantic	37.9	58.1	38.3
6	VBD+visual+semantic	39.8	59.3	40.0
7	VBD+visual+spatial	38.5	58.0	38.5
8	VBD+spatial+semantic+visual	40.5	60.6	41.9



Ablation Study of distillations

- potential of leveraging feature distillation to foster the acquisition of detection capabilities by the student model (Vision-Based Detector) from the teacher model (Knowledge-Enhanced Detector).
- synergistic potential of comprehensive distillation strategies that not only align features but also bridge the gap between attentions.

No.	Distillation	AP	AP50	AP75
1	VBD	35.9	55.8	36.9
2	VBD w emb	38.3	59.3	41.2
3	VBD w emb&attn	40.5	60.6	41.9



Ablation Study of number of generated priors

- the scene of a state change of an object may be diverse, so diverse descriptions and images are necessary.
- When the number of generated priors is large, it can bring more improvement

No.	Number of descriptions	AP	AP50	AP75	No.	Number of generated images	AP	AP50	AP75
1	No-description	37.3	57.8	37.7	1	No-image	37.9	58.1	38.3
2	1-description	37.5	57.9	37.7	2	1-image	38.1	58.2	38.4
3	10-descriptions	40.5	60.6	41.9	3	10-images	39.5	58.7	39.1
	•	•			4	100-images	40.5	60.6	41.9



Ablation Study of aggregation approaches

• Attentive operation has brought about 1.3% improvement on AP, which shows adaptive selection contributes to AOD.

No.	method	AP	AP50	AP75
1	max	39.2	59.5	39.6
2	avg	39.1	59.2	39.7
3	attentive	40.5	60.6	41.9



Visual analysis

• The incorporation of related priors to active objects, effectively guides the detection process towards active objects.



(a) active object: carrot.



(b) active object: food.

Yellow: previous best methods (InternVideo), Red: ours, Green: ground-truth



Attention Map Visualization

• introduce prior knowledge of the active object to guide the model in inferring and locating the active object by analyzing potential interactions



(a) InternVideo Attention Map

(b) Our Attention Map

(c) Detection Results.

Attention Map: colors from **blue** to **red** means the attention from **less** to **more**



THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION

SCAN THE QR CODE FOR PROJECT DETAILS



Code Available https://github.com/idejie/KAD